

1  
2  
3 **UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT**

4 **DISTRICT OF NEVADA**

5 ALFONSO VILLALOBOS,

6 Plaintiff

7 v.

8 D SWENZL, et al.,

9 Defendants

Case No.: 2:19-cv-01229-APG-BNW

**Order Accepting Report and  
Recommendation and Dismissing Case**

[ECF No. 6]

10 On December 2, 2019, Magistrate Judge Weksler recommended that I dismiss this case  
11 because plaintiff Alfonso Villalobos has not updated his address. ECF No. 6. Villalobos did not  
12 object. Thus, I am not obligated to conduct a de novo review of the report and recommendation.  
13 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1) (requiring district courts to “make a de novo determination of those  
14 portions of the report or specified proposed findings to which objection is made”); *United States*  
15 *v. Reyna-Tapia*, 328 F.3d 1114, 1121 (9th Cir. 2003) (en banc) (“the district judge must review  
16 the magistrate judge’s findings and recommendations de novo *if objection is made*, but not  
17 otherwise” (emphasis in original)). Also, Judge Weksler’s report and recommendation was  
18 returned in the mail.

19 I THEREFORE ORDER that Magistrate Judge Weksler’s report and recommendation  
20 (ECF No. 6) is accepted and plaintiff Alfonso Villalobos’s complaint (ECF No. 1-1) is  
21 DISMISSED without prejudice. The clerk of court is instructed to close this case.

22 DATED this 18th day of December, 2019.

23 

ANDREW P. GORDON  
UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE